

WWW.AZCLIMATECHANGE.US

SUMMARY OF TELECONFERENCE MEETING #3 TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP ARIZONA CLIMATE CHANGE ADVISORY GROUP SEPTEMBER 21, 2005

Attendance:

1. Technical Working Group members:

David Berry – Swift Transportation

Diane Brown – Arizona Public Interest Research Group

Beverly Chenausky – Arizona Department of Transportation

Jo Crumbaker (for Bob Kard) – Maricopa County Environmental Services

Rob Elliott – Arizona Raft Adventures

Kirsten Engel – University of Arizona Law School

Gina Grey - Western States Petroleum Association

Willis Martin – Pulte Homes

Karen O'Regan – City of Phoenix

Bob Ramirez - Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community

Duane Yantorno – Arizona Department of Weights and Measures

- 2. ADEQ staff: Kurt Maurer, Lynn Ott
- 3. Center for Climate Strategies (CCS) staff: Karl Hausker, Maureen Mullen
- 4. Others attending: Dean Brennan and Roseanne Sanchez, City of Phoenix

1

Background documents:

(all posted at http://www.azclimatechange.us/template.cfm?FrontID=4672)

- 1. Agenda
- 2. Summary of TLU TWG Call #2
- 3. Powerpoint presentation for meeting
- 4. TLU GHG Reduction Opportunities (policy matrix)
- 5. Background information on Arizona ethanol calculations

Discussion items and key issues:

1. General

- a. Members discussed issue of access to any/all background materials related to discussions on call. CCS noted that operating procedure for the TWGs is for individuals to pass relevant information to facilitators and then materials relevant to discussions would be posted for all members to review. This issue was also discussed at the CCAG meeting on September 29.
- 2. Inventory and reference case projections
 - a. The group agreed to keep the gasoline energy consumption per VMT as used in the draft inventory. Discussion of assuming decreasing energy consumption per VMT for diesel vehicles was tabled for a later date.
 - b. A member brought up the need for an overall CCAG-wide policy on handling uncertainty in the emission inventories.
- 3. Matrix of policy options
 - a. The discussion covered Subsection 2 (Land Use and Location Efficiency) and Subsection 3 (Increasing Low-GHG Travel Options) through 3.2 (Incentives and Disincentives). The priority rankings of these options agreed upon by the TWG are shown in the updated policy matrix.
 - b. The group agreed to bundle all of the options under Subsection 2—Land Use and Location Efficiency. The group rated this bundle as a High priority option.
 - c. In the discussion on Option 3.1.1 (Make full use of CMAQ funds), several members indicated that the funds are generally being used, but the air quality impact of the CMAQ projects selected is minimal. The group would like to see better use made of these funds. A member noted that Phoenix is the only area in Arizona that gets CMAQ funds and that MAG had data on CMAQ projects.
 - d. Under matrix option 3.1.5 (Expand transit infrastructure), several members noted that the Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) has been very successful and that smart planning including good park-and-ride facilities is needed to build on this success.
 - e. Several members noted that car-pooling and telecommuting options, as well as employer-provided commuter incentives, are already in place.
 - f. Under the Incentives and Disincentives options, members indicated that additional taxes are not feasible in Arizona.

Next steps and agreements:

1. In preparation for the fourth call, CCS staff should review remaining options in the matrix and be prepared to complete the prioritization of these remaining options.

2. Next TWG call

Wednesday, Oct. 26, 2005, from 8 a.m. -9:30 a.m. Call #5 is scheduled for November 9 and Call #6 for November 30, both from 8:00 a.m. -9:30 a.m.